

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,854	09/18/2003	Detlef Fehrer	7395-000003	5773
27572	7590 05/12/2004		EXAMINER	
,	DICKEY & PIERO	HARTMAN JR, RONALD D		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		3	ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			2121	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\Omega$	
47	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,854	FEHRER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald D Hartman Jr.	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication (23 U.S.C. & 133)	on.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	ptember 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage	BEST AVAILABLE (
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Interview	e	COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/666,854

Art Unit: 2121

1---

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 9 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, line 5, the phrase "for example" or "i.e." renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Furthermore, with respect to claim 1, the scope of this claim cannot be adequately determined since the preamble sets forth an electronic apparatus forming

Application/Control Number: 10/666,854 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

one of a sensor, an actuator or a control. This language, along with the claim language included in line 5 is indefinite and appropriate changes are required.

Furthermore, it is noted that the applicant attempts to rely on the reference numerals for defining the scope of the claimed invention. The MPEP clearly states that this practice is not acceptable (See MPEP 608.01 (m); 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph) and it is noted that when the claims are treated without relying on the reference numerals, the claims contain numerous possible 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections that will not be discussed further since, as already mentioned, the scope of the claimed invention, cannot be adequately determined, from the claims as originally filed, and to provide these possible rejections would be speculative in nature.

Furthermore, as per claim 1, lines 17 and 19 as well as claim 10, line 2 "can be" is indefinite in nature and appropriate changes should be made.

Claim 8 is indefinite since it claims that, in essence, that anything (variables, methods, messages or events) is predetermined as the set of elements.

It is noted that the following list of deficiencies may not be all inclusive and the applicant is kindly asked to make sure any new claims, or amendments to the claims are in complete compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112.

Therefore, since the examiner will not speculate as to the intended meaning of the claimed invention, and since the claims replete with numerous problems as already explained, any and all art rejections will be held in abeyance until such time that adequate claims are submitted for examination.

Art Unit: 2121

#### **Conclusion**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-7001. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 11:30 am - 8:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald D Hartman Jr.

Examiner

Art Unit 2121

Anthony Knight Supervisory Patert Examiner

Group 3600

BEST AVAILABLE COPY